

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,982	11/28/2001	Gyanesh P. Khare	1	7640
75	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
RICHMOND, HITCHCOCK			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
FISH & DOLLA	AR			
P.O. Box 2443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bartlesville OK 74005			1764	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

				M
e		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astron O		10/021,982	KHARE, GYANE	ESH P.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Walter D. Griffin	1764	
T	he MAILING DATE of this communi eply	ication appears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI IS of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 od for reply is specified above, the maximum stareply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory minimu tutory period will apply and will expire SIX will. by statute, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed  Im of thirty (30) days will be considered tim  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status				
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>19 <i>July 2004</i></u> .		
		b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
	nce this application is in condition to seed in accordance with the practic			e merits is
Disposition	of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Cla	nim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
	Of the above claim(s) <u>48-63</u> is/are	· ·	n.	
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.			
	im(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∏ Cla	im(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requireme	nt.	
Application I	Papers			
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
	drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ed to by the Examiner.	
	licant may not request that any object			
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including t			FR 1.121(d).
	oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> Ackr a)	, /— / <del>—</del>			
2.	Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been receive	d in Application No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have	been received in this National	Stage
	application from the Internation			
* See t	he attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copie	s not received.	
044L				
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>~</b> □ · ·		
2) 🔲 Notice of D	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Pap	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date	:
3) 🔲 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	6) ∐ Othe	er:	

Art Unit: 1764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-47 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn in view of the Terminal Disclaimer filed on July 19, 2004. A new rejection is discussed below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Khare et al. (US 5,439,867).

The Khare reference discloses a sorbent composition that is suitable for removing sulfur from a hydrocarbon fluid. The sorbent comprises a support that is a mixture of alumina (0.5 to 30 wt%), silica (5 to 85 wt%), and zinc oxide (10 to 90 wt%). The sorbent may also contain a silicate such as sodium silicate. A promoter metal such as nickel is also present. The promoter is present in an amount ranging from 0.1 to 15 weight percent. The sorbent has a mean particle size ranging from about 20 to about 500 micrometers. Percent attrition, as shown in Tables I and III, is less than 20%. See column 2, lines 40-51; column 3, lines 1-24 and 57-64; column 4, lines 57-65; and column 6, lines 27-49.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,982

Art Unit: 1764

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 7-11, and 14-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khare et al. (US 5,439,867) in view of Bailey et al. (US 4,634,515).

The Khare reference discloses a sorbent composition that is suitable for removing sulfur from a hydrocarbon fluid. The sorbent comprises a support that is a mixture of alumina (0.5 to 30 wt%), silica (5 to 85 wt%), and zinc oxide (10 to 90 wt%). The sorbent may also contain a silicate such as sodium silicate (1 to 20 wt%). A promoter metal such as nickel is also present.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,982

Art Unit: 1764

The promoter is present in an amount ranging from 0.1 to 15 weight percent. The sorbent has a mean particle size ranging from about 20 to about 500 micrometers. Percent attrition, as shown in Tables I and III, is less than 20%. The sorbent is prepared by mixing the alumina, silica, and zinc oxide and then granulating this mixture. The promoter is then added to the granulate. The silicate is added to the support mixture. See column 2, lines 40-51; column 3, lines 1-24 and 57-64; column 4, lines 57-65; and column 6, lines 27-49.

The Khare reference does not disclose that the promoter is reduced (e.g., reduced valence nickel), does not disclose a process for producing the sorbent in which the promoter is reduced, does not disclose spray drying, and does not disclose all the claimed methods for incorporating the silicate into the sorbent.

The Bailey reference discloses a sorbent that is used for removing sulfur from hydrocarbons. The sorbent comprises nickel that is in the reduced state. See column 3, lines 10-55.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Khare by including a reducing step to produce a sorbent have a reduced promoter as suggested by Bailey because a sorbent having a reduced promoter provides an improved sulfur capacity.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Khare by spray drying because spray drying techniques, as discussed by Khare, will produce the desired fluidizable sorbent.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Khare by adding the silicate to the

Art Unit: 1764

composition by any of the claimed steps because as long as the silicate is present in the final material, the properties imparted by such silicate component would be expected to be present regardless of the actual method of adding the silicate to the material.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG October 13, 2004